

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/916,358	07/27/2001	David B. Locper	D5009-00028	9160		
7:	590 03/02/2006		EXAMINER			
Robert E. Rosenthal			KYLE, CH	KYLE, CHARLES R		
Duane Morris						
One Liberty Pla	ace	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
_	treet, Suite 4200	3624	3624			
	PA 19103-7396	DATE MAILED 02/02/200	,			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	·		
Office Action Summary		09/916,358		LOEPER, DAVID B.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Charles Kyle		3624			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	dress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS R 1.136(a). In no event, I n. eriod will apply and will ex tatute, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION nowever, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to to become ABANDONED	I. lety filed the mailing date of this color (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u> ☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for alloclosed in accordance with the practice und	This action is non- owance except for	formal matters, pro-		merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from consid					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See f the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	☐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te	•		
3) 🛭 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB · No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/5/02, 7/29/05</u> .	3/08) 5)	Notice of Informal Pa		·152)		

Application/Control Number: 09/916,358

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,021,397 Jones et al in view of Evaluation of Value-at-Risk Models Using Historical Data, hereinafter, Hendricks.

As to Claim 1, *Jones* discloses the invention substantially as claimed as in a method for evaluating financial plans (Abstract) the steps of:

Receiving from a user financial plan information, comprising a predetermined initial value of an investment (Col. 18, lines 27-29), at least one predetermined contribution amount at a predetermined contribution time (Col. 18, line 21 and Col. 18, lines 43-48), at least one predetermined withdrawal amount at a predetermined withdrawal time subsequent to the predetermined contribution time (Col. 22, lines 56-60) and a plan duration (Col. 17, line 36-43 and Col. 18, lines 27-28);

Presenting calculated investment values using results of said steps (Col. 20, lines 7-30).

Jones does not specifically disclose the detail of simulating historical performance of a portfolio to analyze financial plans. Hendricks discloses the limitations of iteratively calculating changes of an initial investment based on randomly selected historical intervals for at least four

Application/Control Number: 09/916,358

Art Unit: 3624

intervals at pages 43 to 51, at least. See particularly page page 45, "Data and Simulation Methodology". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the financial plan analysis of *Jones* with the historical analysis of *Hendricks* because of the improved performance resulting from this historical approach. While investors are frequently told that "Past performance is no guarantee of future results", such historical data would provide an informed basis for modeling of the future performance of financial plans.

Additionally, the steps of receiving and allowing for initial investment, contributions and withdrawals cannot confer patentability because they are similar to those of another well-known financial activity, balancing a checkbook at the end of the month and are an obvious function to accurately track investment account balance.

Concerning Claims 2, 9 and 15, *Jones* et al disclose the presentation of results at Col. 4, lines 24-34 and Col. 11, lines 7-10.

Regarding Claims 3, 10 and 16, *Jones* discloses multiple asset categories and distinct historical data at Fig. 4 and Col. 12, line 54 to Col. 13, line 41.

As to Claims 4, 11 and 17, comparison of results of calculation to a goal would be obvious to assess performance of the modeled financial plan.

Concerning Claims 5, 12 and 18, *Jones* discloses adjustment for taxes at Fig. 3 and Col. 8, lines 1-13

With respect to Claims 6, 13 and 19, *Jones* et al teach the entry of initial investment values and allocation to asset categories at Col. 5, line 50 to Col. Col. 7, line 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/916,358

Art Unit: 3624

Concerning Claim 7, Hendricks discloses at lest twenty iterations (1000) at page 45, "Data and Simulation Methodology", step 5.

As to Claims 8 and 14, see the discussion of Claim1; Jones discloses system and storage media at Col. 4, line 60 to Col. 5, line 49.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Kyle whose telephone number is (571) 272-6746. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

crk October 18, 2005 Primary Examiner Charles Kyle Art Unit 3624

Charles Kyle